
List of Figures

Figure 1-1	High, moderate, and low seismicity regions of the conterminous United States. A different RVS Data Collection Form has been developed for each of these regions.....	1
Figure 1-2	Data Collection Forms for the three designated seismicity regions (low, moderate, and high)	3
Figure 2-1	Rapid visual screening implementation sequence.	5
Figure 2-2	Example RVS Data Collection Form (high seismicity).....	7
Figure 2-3	Sections 1 and 2 of Quick Reference Guide (for use with Data Collection Form).....	10
Figure 2-4	Building identification portion of RVS Data Collection Form.....	11
Figure 2-5	Example Sanborn map showing building information for a city block.	12
Figure 2-6	Key to Sanborn map symbols.	13
Figure 2-7	Sanborn map and corresponding aerial photograph of a city block.....	14
Figure 2-8	Photographs of elevation views of buildings shown in Figure 2-7.....	15
Figure 2-9	Examples of in-house screen displays of municipal databases.....	16
Figure 2-10	Location on Data Collection Form where soil type information is recorded.....	17
Figure 3-1	Example RVS Data Collection Form (high seismicity)	19
Figure 3-2	Portion of Data Collection Form for documenting building identification	20
Figure 3-3	Sample Data Collection Form showing location for sketches of building plan and elevation views.	21
Figure 3-4	Location on Data Collection Form where soil type information is documented (circled).....	21
Figure 3-5	Occupancy portion of Data Collection Form.....	22
Figure 3-6	Portion of Data Collection Form for documenting nonstructural falling hazards.	23
Figure 3-7	Portion of Data Collection Form containing Basic Structural Hazard Scores.....	25
Figure 3-8	Typical frame structure. Features include: large window spans, window openings on many sides, and clearly visible column-beam grid pattern.	35
Figure 3-9	Typical bearing wall structure. Features include small window span, at least two mostly solid walls, and thick load-bearing walls.	35
Figure 3-10	Frame and bearing wall structures	36
Figure 3-11	Interior view showing fireproofed columns and beams, which indicate a steel building (S1, S2, or S4).	37

Figure 3-12	Interior view showing concrete columns and girders, which indicate a concrete moment frame (C1)	37
Figure 3-13	Portion of Data Collection Form containing attributes that modify performance and associated score modifiers.....	38
Figure 3-14	Elevation views showing vertical irregularities, with arrows indicating locations of particular concern.....	39
Figure 3-15	Example of setbacks and a soft first story	39
Figure 3-16	Example of soft story conditions, where parking requirements result in large weak openings.....	40
Figure 3-17	Plan views of various building configurations showing plan irregularities; arrows indicate possible areas of damage.....	40
Figure 3-18	Example of a building, with a plan irregularity, with two wings meeting at right angles.....	41
Figure 3-19	Example of a building, triangular in plan, subject to torsion.....	41
Figure 3-20	Location on Data Collection Form where the final score, comments, and an indication if the building needs detailed evaluation are documented.....	42
Figure 5-1	Screen capture of USGS web page showing SA values for 0.2 sec and 1.0 sec for ground motions having 2% probability of being exceeded in 50 years.....	50
Figure 5-2	High seismicity Data Collection Form selected for Anyplace, USA	52
Figure 5-3	Quick Reference Guide for Anyplace USA showing entries for years in which seismic codes were first adopted and enforced and benchmark years.....	53
Figure 5-4	Property information at example site in city's geographic information system.....	54
Figure 5-5	Exterior view of 3703 Roxbury Street	56
Figure 5-6	Close-up view of 3703 Roxbury Street exterior showing perimeter braced steel framing.....	56
Figure 5-7	Building identification portion of Data Collection Form for Example 1, 3703 Roxbury Street.....	56
Figure 5-8	Completed Data Collection Form for Example 1, 3703 Roxbury Street.....	57
Figure 5-9	Exterior view of 3711 Roxbury	58
Figure 5-10	Close-up view of 3711 Roxbury Street building exterior showing infill frame construction.....	58
Figure 5-11	Completed Data Collection Form for Example 2, 3711 Roxbury Street.....	59
Figure 5-12	Exterior view of 5020 Ebony Drive	60
Figure 5-13	Completed Data Collection Form for Example 3, 5020 Ebony Drive	61
Figure 5-14	Exterior view of 1450 Addison Avenue.....	62

Figure 5-15	Building identification portion of Data Collection Form for Example 4, 1450 Addison Avenue	62
Figure 5-16	Completed Data Collection Form for Example 4, 1450 Addison Avenue	62
Figure A-1	Seismicity Regions of the Conterminous United States	66
Figure A-2	Seismicity Regions in California, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington	67
Figure A-3	Seismicity Regions in Arizona, Montana, Utah, and Wyoming	68
Figure A-4	Seismicity Regions in Colorado, Kansas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas.....	69
Figure A-5	Seismicity Regions in Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wisconsin	70
Figure A-6	Seismicity Regions in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Missouri, and Ohio.....	71
Figure A-7	Seismicity Regions in Alabama, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee	72
Figure A-8	Seismicity Regions in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont.....	73
Figure A-9	Seismicity Regions in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia.....	74
Figure A-10	Seismicity Regions in Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina	75
Figure A-11	Seismicity Regions in Alaska and Hawaii	76
Figure D-1	Photos showing basic construction, in steel-frame buildings and reinforced concrete-frame buildings.	91
Figure D-2	Building with exterior columns covered with a façade material.....	94
Figure D-3	Detail of the column façade of Figure D-2.	94
Figure D-4	Building with both shear walls (in the short direction) and frames (in the long direction)	94
Figure D-5	Regular, full-height joints in a building's wall indicate a concrete tilt-up.	95
Figure D-6	Reinforced masonry wall showing no course of header bricks (a row of visible brick ends).	95
Figure D-7	Reinforced masonry building with exterior wall of concrete masonry units, or concrete blocks.	95
Figure D-8	A 1970s renovated façade hides a URM bearing-wall structure.....	95
Figure D-9	A concrete shear-wall structure with a 1960s renovated façade.	96
Figure D-10	URM wall showing header courses (identified by arrows) and two washer plates indicating wall anchors.	96
Figure D-11	Drawing of two types of masonry pattern showing header bricks.....	96

Figure D-12	Diagram of common reinforced masonry construction. Bricks are left out of the bottom course at intervals to create cleanout holes, then inserted before grouting	97
Figure D-13	Brick veneer panels.....	97
Figure D-14	Hollow clay tile wall with punctured tiles.....	97
Figure D-15	Sheet metal siding with masonry pattern.....	97
Figure D-16	Asphalt siding with brick pattern.	98
Figure D-17	Pre-1940 cast-in-place concrete with formwork pattern.	98
Figure E-1	Single family residence (an example of the W1 identifier, light wood-frame residential and commercial buildings less than 5000 square feet).	99
Figure E-2	Larger wood-framed structure, typically with room-width spans (W2, light, wood-frame buildings greater than 5000 square feet).	99
Figure E-3	Drawing of wood stud frame construction.	100
Figure E-4	Stud wall, wood-framed house.	101
Figure E-5	Drawing of timber pole framed house.	101
Figure E-6	Timber pole framed house.....	101
Figure E-7	House off its foundation, 1983 Coalinga earthquake.	101
Figure E-8	Failed cripple stud wall, 1992 Big Bear earthquake.....	102
Figure E-9	Failure of post and pier foundation, Humbolt County.	102
Figure E-10	Seismic strengthening of a cripple wall, with plywood sheathing.	103
Figure E-11	Drawing of steel moment-resisting frame building.	103
Figure E-12	Braced frame configurations.	104
Figure E-13	Braced steel frame, with chevron and diagonal braces. The braces and steel frames are usually covered by finish material after the steel is erected.	104
Figure E-14	Chevron bracing in steel building under construction.....	104
Figure E-15	Rehabilitation of a concrete parking structure using exterior X-braced steel frames.....	105
Figure E-16	Use of a braced frame to rehabilitate an unreinforced masonry building.....	106
Figure E-17	Drawing of light metal construction.	106
Figure E-18	Connection of metal siding to light metal frame with rows of screws (encircled).	107
Figure E-19	Prefabricated metal building (S3, light metal building).	107
Figure E-20	Drawing of steel frame with interior concrete shear-walls.....	108

Figure E-21	Concrete shear wall on building exterior.....	108
Figure E-22	Close-up of exterior shear wall damage during a major earthquake.....	108
Figure E-23	Drawing of steel frame with URM infill.....	109
Figure E-24	Example of steel frame with URM infill walls (S5).	110
Figure E-25	Drawing of concrete moment-resisting frame building	111
Figure E-26	Extreme example of ductility in concrete, 1994 Northridge earthquake.	111
Figure E-27	Example of ductile reinforced concrete column, 1994 Northridge earthquake; horizontal ties would need to be closer for greater demands.	112
Figure E-28	Concrete moment-resisting frame building (C1) with exposed concrete, deep beams, wide columns (and with architectural window framing)	112
Figure E-29	Locations of failures at beam-to-column joints in nonductile frames, 1994 Northridge earthquake.....	113
Figure E-30	Drawing of concrete shear-wall building.....	114
Figure E-31	Tall concrete shear-wall building: walls connected by damaged spandrel beams.....	115
Figure E-32	Shear-wall damage, 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake.....	115
Figure E-33	Concrete frame with URM infill.....	115
Figure E-34	Blow-up (lower photo) of distant view of C3 building (upper photo) showing concrete frame with URM infill (left wall), and face brick (right wall).....	115
Figure E-35	Drawing of tilt-up construction typical of the western United States. Tilt-up construction in the eastern United States may incorporate a steel frame.....	116
Figure E-36	Tilt-up industrial building, 1970s.	117
Figure E-37	Tilt-up industrial building, mid- to late 1980s.	117
Figure E-38	Tilt-up construction anchorage failure.....	117
Figure E-39	Result of failure of the roof beam anchorage to the wall in tilt-up building.....	117
Figure E-40	Newly installed anchorage of roof beam to wall in tilt-up building.	118
Figure E-41	Drawing of precast concrete frame building.....	119
Figure E-42	Typical precast column cover on a steel or concrete moment frame.	120
Figure E-43	Exposed precast double-T sections and overlapping beams are indicative of precast frames	120
Figure E-44	Example of precast double-T section during installation.....	120

Figure E-45	Precast structural cross; installation joints are at sections where bending is minimum during high seismic demand.....	120
Figure E-46	Modern reinforced brick masonry.....	121
Figure E-47	Drawing of unreinforced masonry bearing-wall building, 2-story.....	122
Figure E-48	Drawing of unreinforced masonry bearing-wall building, 4-story.....	123
Figure E-49	Drawing of unreinforced masonry bearing-wall building, 6-story.....	124
Figure E-50	East coast URM bearing-wall building.....	124
Figure E-51	West coast URM bearing-wall building.....	124
Figure E-52	Drawings of typical window head features in URM bearing-wall buildings.....	125
Figure E-53	Parapet failure leaving an uneven roof line, due to inadequate anchorage, 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake.....	126
Figure E-54	Damaged URM building, 1992 Big Bear earthquake.....	126
Figure E-55	Upper: Two existing anchors above three new wall anchors at floor line using decorative washer plates. Lower: Rehabilitation techniques include closely spaced anchors at floor and roof levels.....	127
Figure F-1	The separate tectonic plates comprising the earth's crust superimposed on a map of the world.....	129
Figure F-2	Seismicity of the conterminous United States 1977-1997. This reproduction shows earthquake locations without regard to magnitude or depth. The San Andreas fault and other plate boundaries are indicated with white lines.....	131
Figure F-3	Seismicity of Alaska 1977 – 1997. The white line close to most of the earthquakes is the plate boundary, on the ocean floor, between the Pacific and North America plates.....	132
Figure F-4	Seismicity of Hawaii 1977 – 1997.....	132.
Figure F-5	Mid-rise building collapse, 1985 Mexico City earthquake.....	133
Figure F-6	Near-field effects, 1992 Landers earthquake, showing house (white arrow) close to surface faulting (black arrow); the insert shows a house interior.....	134
Figure F-7	Collapsed chimney with damaged roof, 1987 Whittier Narrows earthquake.....	134
Figure F-8	House that slid off foundation, 1994 Northridge earthquake.....	135
Figure F-9	Collapsed cripple stud walls dropped this house to the ground, 1992 Landers and Big Bear earthquakes.....	135
Figure F-10	This house has settled to the ground due to collapse of its post and pier foundation.....	135
Figure F-11	Collapse of unreinforced masonry bearing wall, 1933 Long Beach earthquake.....	135
Figure F-12	Collapse of a tilt-up bearing wall, 1994 Northridge earthquake.....	135